

Arizona Legislative Candidate Questionnaire

#12

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 ([Email](#))
Started: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 10:50:10 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 11:36:01 PM
Time Spent: 00:45:51
Email: hydrick@aol.com
IP Address: 98.165.117.23

PAGE 2: CAMPAIGN INFORMATION

Q1: Candidate Name

Janie Hydrick

Q2: Party Affiliation

Democrat

Q3: Legislative Chamber

Senate

Q4: Legislative District

LD18

Q5: Campaign Website

www.Hydrick2014.com

Q6: Phone Number

4809631848

Q7: Email Address

hydrick@aol.com

PAGE 3: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Q8: Have you ever been involved in any animal protection issues?

YES,

Comments

Greenpeace: contributions; petition circulation; and letter writing, phone calling, and Hill lobbying on behalf of whales and other sea mammals.
Defenders of Wildlife: each year in my classroom, my students engaged in a year-long project to collect money to save the wolves. They learned the value of each person's efforts and activism.

Q9: Do you have, or have you had in the past, any companion animals that have had an impact on you?

YES,

Comments

Our current three rescue pugs are as much members of our family as has been every other precious soul we have adopted and loved...cats, fish, guinea pigs, hamsters, rats, snakes, and Sweet William the tarantula.

Arizona Legislative Candidate Questionnaire

Q10: Do you financially or philosophically support any local or national animal protection organizations?

YES,

If so, which ones?

Philosophically support all of them! Financially support Save the Manatees, Nature Conservancy, American Humane Society, World Wildlife Fund, National Wildlife Federation, ASPCA, Defenders of Wildlife, Grace Farm Senior Pugs (Australia), Arizona Pug Rescue Network (APARN)

PAGE 4: FARM ANIMALS

Q11: Do you support removing farm animals and horses from protection under Arizona's animal cruelty law and placing them under new, weaker laws in the agriculture code?

Comments

Every animal has a right to the most humane treatment possible. No exceptions.

PAGE 5: COMPANION ANIMALS

Q12: Would you support legislation to require registration, oversight and/or standards of care for animals in boarding facilities and breeding kennels?

YES,

Comments

I would include stiff penalties for violations.

PAGE 6: ANIMAL CONTROL

Q13: Do you believe that Arizona should have more uniform animal control laws to prevent inhumane treatment of animals?

YES,

Comments

As a state and nation, we are no better than the way we treat all animals.

PAGE 7: WILDLIFE AND HABITAT

Q14: Do you support a process that includes less than 1% of hunters & anglers and limits the voice of a majority of Arizonans to participate in the Arizona Game & Fish Commission appointment process?

NO,

Comments

SB1200 was clearly legislation to permit egregious practices that may or may not be passed by the state legislature.

Q15: Do you believe that SB 1200 should be repealed?

YES,

Comments

We should also "repeal" the legislators who passed SB1200 and replace them with legislators who have a respect for our land, water, air, and the fauna and flora that should be protected.

Arizona Legislative Candidate Questionnaire

PAGE 8: GREYHOUND RACING

Q16: Would you support legislation to repeal multi-million dollar tax breaks to dog tracks?

YES,

Comments

This is a sport that is fraught with cruelty. It should have increased regulations and consequences regarding the treatment of the dogs and should not be subsidized with a single penny.

PAGE 9: CITIZEN INITIATIVE PROCESS AND ANIMAL PROTECTION

Q17: Do you support Arizona's public initiative process?

YES

Q18: If YES, what steps would you take to protect our public initiative process?

The laws governing Arizona's public initiative process seem to be very clear; and thankfully the Arizona voters as a majority have voted or protested in opposition to efforts by the legislature to have the initiative process serve their own ideological agendas. I'm not sure what steps might exist that would serve to protect our public initiative process. If there are such steps, I would wholly support them. If there are not such steps, I would act as a legislator in such a way that the best interests of the voters and their innate sense of animal rights would not be threatened or compromised by legislation; yet if they were, that voters would have a legal means to take action.