



## Arizona Legislative Candidate Questionnaire 2012

Candidate Ed Ableser Phone 480-343-1602

Legislative Office: District 26 House of Representatives \_\_\_\_\_ Senate X

Website edableser.com Email voteed@gmail.com

Animals play an important role in the lives of many Arizonans, who consistently demonstrate concern for animal protection. Humane-minded citizens of all political stripes care deeply about the positions their elected officials take on animal protection issues. Humane Voters of Arizona (HVA) appreciates your input on this 2012 State Candidate Questionnaire. HVA will be endorsing candidates for the upcoming primary and general elections based upon voting records and response to this questionnaire. HVA may also share questionnaire responses with other organizations that track and make endorsements.

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**Have you ever been involved in any animal protection issues?**

Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_ Comments

**I have been proud to have the chance to stand up for animal rights down at the state capital and hope to continue to do so in the future.**

**Do you have, or have you had in the past, any companion animals that have had an impact on you?**

Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_ Comments

**My English Bulldog Jezebel**

**Do you financially or philosophically support any local or national animal protection organizations? If so, which ones?**

Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_ Comments

**Arizona Humane Society**

## **ANIMAL CONTROL**

### **ROADSIDE ANIMAL SALES**

In 2008 the Arizona Legislature passed a bill prohibiting the public sale of animals, but the law applies only to counties with a population of more than 800,000. Yet animal control agencies and shelters in smaller counties report that unregulated public sale of animals is a significant burden for shelters, animals, and communities. Many animals sold on public streets are bred and raised in poor conditions. And since the animals are typically not spayed, neutered or vaccinated, they contribute to overpopulation, the euthanasia of thousands of homeless animals each year in Arizona shelters and the spread of disease. Roadside animal sales also increase costs of animal control, which places a financial burden on taxpayers.

**Would you support legislation to expand the ban the roadside sale of animals statewide?**

Yes  No  Comments

**Expanding the ban to include counties with populations under 800,00 would be a smart way of decreasing overpopulation and the other problems that arise from poor conditions surrounding the roadside sale of animals.**

### **HOMELESS ANIMALS**

Every year, tens of thousands of cats and dogs are killed in shelters throughout Arizona. Taxpayers bear the cost of picking up, housing, and ultimately euthanizing these homeless or unwanted animals. The pet overpopulation crisis is the direct result of irresponsible breeding and animals left unaltered in our communities.

**Would you be willing to work with animal control agencies and/or shelters in your district to address problems related to the homeless animal crisis?**

Yes  No  Comments

**As a representative of my district, it would be a pleasure to coordinate with local shelters to help deal with homeless animals. Through a smart mix of programs to encourage spaying and neutering, responsible breeding and other helpful behaviors, we can make a great positive impact on the homeless animal crisis.**

## **WILDLIFE AND HABITAT**

### **ARIZONA GAME & FISH COMMISSION**

The Arizona Game and Fish Commission (AZGFC) is charged with managing and protecting Arizona's wildlife. It has five members who are appointed by the governor and confirmed by the Arizona Senate. Each serves a five-year term, no

two may be from the same county and only three may be members of the same political party. Each candidate must be well-informed on the subject of wildlife.

For decades, Arizona has had an appointment process through which applicants would apply directly to the governor's office. However, in 2009 the Arizona Legislature passed SB 1200, a measure that creates a recommendation board controlled by ranchers and clubs who represent less than 1% of Arizona hunters and anglers to appoint candidates to the AZGFC. The governor is required to select only board-endorsed candidates.

SB 1200 was opposed by the AZGFC and many diverse hunting, conservation, and animal protection organizations.

**Do you support a process that includes less than 1% of hunters & anglers and limits the voice of a majority of Arizonans to control of the Arizona Game & Fish Commission appointment process?**

Yes \_\_\_ No X Comments

**Government needs to be as open as possible to all people affected by its decisions. Giving such a great amount of control to a small fraction of the population goes against the public interest.**

**Do you believe that SB 1200 should be repealed?**

Yes X No \_\_\_ Comments

### EXOTIC ANIMALS

Although the Arizona Game and Fish Department requires a license to possess great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas, orangutans), there are no restrictions for owning the majority of dangerous primates. Monkeys, baboons, and other primates kept as pets can inflict serious injuries or even kill their owners or neighbors, and can transmit deadly diseases to people, such as hepatitis A, tuberculosis and the often fatal Herpes B virus.

**Would you support legislation that would ban the private possession of all primates in Arizona?**

Yes X No \_\_\_ Comments

**Owning a primate is a very serious matter. By refusing to put in place sensible restrictions, the state has invited harm to come to the primates, their owners and the communities they live in.**

## **CITIZENS' VOTING RIGHTS**

Arizona's public initiative process is a constitutional right which was enacted at statehood in 1912. The first initiative was for women's suffrage, and it passed by a margin of greater than two to one that same year.

Arguably the most important tool for protecting Arizona's animals is the public initiative process. Thanks to grassroots citizens' ballot measures, cruel leg-hold traps were banned on public lands in 1994 and cockfighting was outlawed in 1998. In 2006, voters overwhelmingly approved an initiative that banned the cruel confinement of pregnant pigs and calves raised for veal.

In 2000 voters rejected a proposal that would have required a 2/3 majority to pass any initiative affecting wildlife. And in a landslide election in 2008, voters defeated a measure that would have deprived the majority of voters participating in an election of the right to decide ballot initiatives, virtually eliminating the citizen initiative process.

**Do you support Arizona's public initiative process?**

Yes  No  Comments

**The state initiative process is a great thing for the state of Arizona. While the state Legislature, Governor and other officials might not always be working in the best interest of Arizona, we can always trust in the democratic citizen initiatives process to allow the people's voice to be heard and create positive changes.**

**Do you believe that the Legislature should NOT attempt to dismantle the constitutional voting rights of Arizona citizens?**

Yes  No  Comments

**No good public official would ever think to try and infringe upon a citizen's sacred right to vote. I am proud have the chance to stand up to attempts to restrict the right to vote.**

Thank you for your time. Please return the completed questionnaire to:

**Humane Voters of Arizona**  
Email to: [HumaneVotersArizona@cox.net](mailto:HumaneVotersArizona@cox.net)