



2015 LEGISLATIVE REPORT AND SCORECARD



Desert Nesting Bald Eagle photo by Robin Silver



ARIZONA 2015 LEGISLATIVE REPORT

By Karen Michael

This year Humane Voters of Arizona (HVA) joined with other animal protection groups to form the Humane Legislative Coalition of Arizona (HCLA), an alliance of local animal advocacy organizations. Member groups include HVA, Animal Defense League of Arizona, Arizona Humane Society, and Humane Society of Southern Arizona. The coalition hired Brian Tassinari, the outstanding political consultant who helped to kill last session's bad farm animal bill. This represents the Arizona animal community's largest effort to date to protect our state's animals and citizen initiative rights.

Polls indicate that Arizona voters strongly support endangered Mexican wolf reintroduction and farm animal protection. This was demonstrated by the outpouring of support requesting a veto of the farm animal bill. Animal protection is a nonpartisan issue. A perfect example is that two of the most vocal supporters, Senators Farley and Kavanaugh, are at polar ends of the political spectrum, yet they consistently agree when it comes to fighting for animals.

The Good Bills

The Cat Impound Exemption Bill ([SB 1260](#))

This beneficial measure exempts impounded cats from minimum holding periods at animal control facilities if the cat is eligible for a trap, neuter, return (TNR) program. Eligible cats are sterilized and ear-tipped and returned to their outdoor homes. Best Friends Animal Society drafted the original bill, SB 1198, which was sponsored by Senator Kavanaugh. The bill failed on the House floor after an amendment was added to prohibit pound fees to be charged to anyone reclaiming an impounded cat. The original measure was revived by Senator Shooter as a strike-everything amendment to SB 1260, which passed the Legislature and was signed by Governor Ducey.



Bill to Repeal Arizona Game and Fish Commission Appointment Recommendation Board ([HB 2269](#))

Representative Juan Mendez sponsored a measure that would have repealed the industry-controlled board that recommends candidates to the Arizona Game and Fish Commission (AZGFC). Although the bill died without being heard in committee, HVA appreciates the work of Rep. Mendez and others who sponsored the bill and their efforts to raise awareness of this issue.

Here's some background on why this legislation is so important. The AZGFC is charged with managing and protecting Arizona's wildlife. For decades, Arizona had an appointment process through which applicants would apply directly to the governor's office. However, in 2009 the Legislature passed a [measure](#) that created a recommendation board controlled by ranchers and elite hunting clubs to appoint candidates to the AZGFC. The governor is limited to selecting only "board-endorsed" candidates. Since then, the AZGFC has become increasingly more industry-friendly, catering to ranchers and trophy hunting groups that it considers primary stakeholders. AZGFC appears to view predators as competition rather than crucial components of healthy ecosystems, which has led to detrimental rulemaking and policies based on politics rather than the best science. Hopefully the efforts of bill sponsors will help to gain public awareness of the commission's mismanagement of Arizona's wildlife. This is the first step to repeal the recommendation board.

Prohibited Animal Ownership ([SB 1050](#))

As in the 2014 session, Senator Kavanagh sponsored a bill to prohibit persons who have been convicted of animal abuse from adopting, fostering or having care or custody of animals. The measure died after being held in Senate committees.

Dog License and Vaccination Bill ([HB 2573](#))

This bill, sponsored by Representative Coleman and supported by Maricopa County Animal Care and Control, would have made beneficial changes regarding animal control laws by allowing owners to quarantine dogs at home instead of county shelters. It also decoupled dog rabies vaccination from licensing. The bill passed the House unanimously but died in the Senate.

Pet Stores and Commercial Dog Breeders Bill ([HB 2618](#))

Sponsored by Representatives Andrade, Cardenas, Sherwood, Steele, et al., the measure would have prohibited pet stores from selling dogs from puppy mills. The bill died without being heard in committees.

Animal Fighting/Cockfighting under Racketeering Crimes ([SB 1105](#))

Sponsored by Senator Farley and Representatives Meyer and Sherwood, the bill would have included animal fighting and cockfighting under Arizona's racketeering crimes definition. The legislation died without receiving a hearing in committees. The same measure failed in the 2014 session.

Anti-Racketeering Fund for Equine Care ([SB 1132](#))

Senator Bradley sponsored a bill which would have allowed the Anti-Racketeering Revolving Fund to care for horses used in drug smuggling. The bill died without being heard in committees.

The Mixed Bag Bill

The Anti-Tethering Bill ([HB 2632](#))

As introduced, the bill sponsored by Representatives Lawrence, Andrade, Cardenas, Mach, Sherwood, Steele, Senators Farley, and Kavanagh, would have prohibited tethering of dogs with limited exemptions. However, a strike-everything amendment sponsored by Rep. Eddie Farnsworth defined tethering as "attaching a dog to a stationary object for more than 24 continuous hours." The new language not only defeated the purpose of the bill, it could have actually codified and affirmatively permitted tethering dogs almost continuously. The bill failed before reaching a final vote.

The Bad Bills

Revival of the Farm Animal Cruelty Bill ([HB 2429](#) and [HB 2150](#))

As in 2014, the most damaging bill of the session was the revived farm animal cruelty bill, which would have severely weakened Arizona's animal cruelty law. This session Representative Brenda Barton sponsored HB 2429, which was a version of last year's bill as amended in the Senate. Bill proponents represented that humane groups supported the legislation, when the measure was actually opposed by animal protection organizations. In fact, no humane organizations were even included in bill stakeholder meetings, which were held throughout the past year.

The Humane Legislative Coalition of Arizona along with many other animal protection groups universally and strongly opposed this measure, which would have significantly weakened Arizona's existing animal cruelty law that has been working effectively for decades. The majority of animal cruelty cases are prosecuted under Arizona's current animal cruelty law, A.R.S. 13-2910. For example, under current law, 'abandonment of animals' is specifically referenced in statute and it is a



felony. This bill simply eliminated that definition of cruelty for livestock and poultry. The proposed new standards in the bill did not even reference abandonment. In addition, was also no specific reference in these new standards to 'provide medical attention necessary to prevent protracted suffering' to animals.

This dangerous bill would also have prevented counties and cities from enacting 'an ordinance that relates to the treatment of livestock or poultry or animal husbandry practices that is more prohibitive or restrictive than this session.' For example, in 1996 the Arizona SPCA investigated people who were slaughtering goats and other animals in Phoenix apartment complexes. That led to the City of Phoenix enacting an [ordinance](#) that banned home slaughter of livestock (with certain exemptions for agricultural properties). Under this measure, local governments would have been powerless to address such issues.

Despite overwhelming opposition from animal advocates, law enforcement, and prosecutors, the bill passed the House and was transferred to the Senate where it was assigned to the Government Committee, chaired by Senator Kavanagh. When he refused to grant the bill a hearing, animal advocates hoped that it was dead. However, in the Arizona Legislature no measure is dead until the day of adjournment. The cattle lobbyists struck a deal, and the bill was resurrected as a strike-everything amendment to another bill assigned to rancher Senator Steve Pierce's committee. HB 2150 was passed by the Senate and after a final vote in the House it was transferred to the governor's office.



Thousands of animal advocates from across Arizona contacted Governor Ducey and asked him to veto HB 2150. And the state's largest newspaper, the Arizona Republic, ran an editorial stating that the bill should be vetoed. On March 30th, in his first veto since taking office, Governor

Ducey vetoed the destructive measure that would have severely weakened protection from cruelty for horses and farm animals. In his [veto letter](#), Governor Ducey stated that "We must ensure that all animals are protected, and mindful that increasing protections for one class of animals does not inadvertently undercut protections for another."

According to the Arizona Republic, the governor's office received 19,248 calls and emails opposing the bill, and three in support of the measure.

Bills Targeting Wildlife and Endangered Species

In addition to horses and farm animals, the Arizona Legislature launched its annual attack on wildlife including the endangered Mexican gray wolf. Lawmakers introduced four bills aimed at endangered wolves, despite the fact that only 109 Mexican gray wolves remain in the wild. These animals are native to Arizona and are an important part of our natural heritage and play an important role in healthy ecosystems. These bills demonstrate that Arizona Legislators are largely out of step with the public they represent. Polling shows that 77% of Arizona voters [support](#) the Mexican wolf reintroduction.

It's Back...! Legislators revived the Constitutional Right to Hunt referendum, despite the fact that Arizona voters defeated it in 2010. [HCR 2014 hunting and fishing; constitutional rights \(Thorpe, Finchem\)](#), declares hunting, fishing and the harvesting of wildlife a state constitutional right. If passed by voters, it could prevent any initiatives that impair taking of wildlife, and could allow a court to overturn the 1994 citizens' initiative that banned traps, snares, and poisons on Arizona's public lands. It also states that the Arizona Legislature has primary authority for managing wildlife. In 2010, voters rejected the Legislature's attempt to limit voter rights and to control Arizona's decisions about the management of wildlife, which is owned by the state in public trust for all citizens. Fortunately, HCR 2014 died without being heard in committees, but we anticipate sponsors will reintroduce the bill next session.

[SB 1185 appropriation; wolf recovery; litigation costs](#), sponsored by Senators Griffin, Allen, and Burges, and Representative Borelli, would have appropriated \$250,000 from the state General Fund to the Attorney General for litigation expenses relating to a challenge against any expansion of the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program. The bill passed the Senate but died in the House after it was replaced by an unrelated strike-everything amendment. The same measure failed in the 2014 session.

[SB 1466 livestock loss board; compensation fund](#), sponsored by Representatives Allen, Barton, Bowers, Finchem, et al., establishes a Board to address the depredation of wolves on livestock operations and to compensate livestock owners for losses. This measure is unnecessary and redundant since the diverse [Mexican Wolf/Coexistence Council](#) already exists for this purpose.



An amendment was added that creates a class 4 felony penalty to knowingly import, transport or release threatened or endangered wildlife into Arizona. This perpetuates the myth that the wolf that traveled to Grand Canyon was 'planted' by wolf advocates. The bill passed the Legislature and was signed by the governor.

The Legislature also passed two concurrent memorial bills aimed at endangered species. Although memorials are requests and have no official standing, they send inaccurate, damaging messages to Congress and wildlife agencies. These bills do not incorporate peer-reviewed science or the views of a diversity of wildlife experts.

[SCM 1003 Mexican wolf; Urging USFWS \(Griffin\)](#) persuades the US Fish and Wildlife Service to stop future introduction of Mexican wolves in Arizona and instead reintroduce them in Mexico. It also asks USFWS to shift the primary responsibility for administration of the Mexican wolf program in Arizona to the Arizona Game and Fish Department, an agency that agrees with the bill's detrimental proposals. SCM 1003 passed the Legislature and was sent to the Secretary of State.

[SCM 1009 military bases; endangered species act \(Griffin, Allen, Burges, et al.\)](#) urges Congress to enact legislation exempting U.S. military bases and training facilities from the regulations and restrictions of the Endangered Species Act (ESA). The Legislature passed this bill despite the fact that the Department of Defense concluded that environmental laws do not hinder military preparedness. SCM 1009 passed the Legislature and was sent to the Secretary of State.

Thanks to Sandy Bahr, Sierra Club Grand Canyon Director, who spends countless hours throughout the session and year to fight bills aimed at endangered wolves and other species.

Anti-Initiative Bills

[HB 2407](#) (Stevens) requires strict compliance for referendum and recall and requires courts to strictly construe, and the petition proponents to strictly comply with these provisions. This measure creates numerous specifications that would enable large amounts of signatures to be thrown out on a technicality. These impediments would make it much more difficult for citizens to conduct referendum or recall campaigns, which are already difficult. HB 2407 contains some damaging language from HB 2305, the elections omnibus measure that passed the Legislature in 2013. HVA and other diverse groups formed [Protect Your Right to Vote](#) coalition, which collected over 144,000 signatures to refer the measure to the ballot. Instead of placing the measure on the ballot, the Legislature repealed HB 2305 in the 2014 session. Ironically, if HB 2407 had been in place, our referendum campaign might have failed.

Special Thanks to Governor Doug Ducey

Our special thanks goes to Governor Ducey, who vetoed the dangerous farm animal bill. After the Legislature passed HB 2150, Governor Ducey was the only person who could stop the bill. And that is exactly what he did. In his first veto since taking office, Governor Ducey stopped this damaging legislation from taking effect. At a meeting with animal advocacy groups, Governor Ducey reiterated his commitment to protect animals from cruelty.

Thanks to Governor Ducey for his courageous act and commitment to animal protection. Also, thanks to the close to 20,000 Arizona citizens who contacted the governor to urge a veto of HB 2150.

Super Star Legislators

Humane Voters of Arizona wishes to thank those special legislators that expended efforts to protect animals and our public initiative process. Lawmakers that sponsored and/or voted to protect animals and voters' rights, and were present for all or most key bill votes include:

Representatives Lela Alston, Richard Andrade, Reginald Bolding, Ken Clark, Rosanna Gabaldon, Sally Ann Gonzales, Randall Friese, Stefanie Mach, Debbie McCune Davis, Juan Mendez, Eric Meyer, Andrew Sherwood, Victoria Steele, and Ceci Velasquez

Senators David Bradley, Olivia Cajero Bedford, Lupe Contreras, Andrea Dalessandro, Katie Hobbs, and Martin Quezada

Special recognition goes to Representative Michelle Ugenti, who had the best voting score of any Republican legislator. She voted 'no' on both versions of the farm animal measure, and opposed SB 1466, which targets endangered Mexican gray wolves.

Above and Beyond

Senator Steve Farley again voted consistently to protect animals and citizens' voting rights. He was a strong opponent of HB 2150, which would have weakened the animal cruelty law. He also was a primary sponsor of SB 1105, to include animal fighting and cockfighting under the Racketeering definition. Throughout every legislative session, Senator Farley has fought to protect all animals and citizens' voting rights. Senator Farley frequently has spoken out on animal-related bills in committees and on the floor.

Senator John Kavanagh fought hard to stop the damaging farm animal bills. When HB 2429 was assigned to the committee he chaired, he refused to hear the bill. He also spoke out against HB 2150 on the Senate floor. Senator Kavanagh again sponsored his bill to prohibit animal abusers from owning animals. He also sponsored the cat impoundment measure and other pro-animal bills. Although Senator Kavanagh's voting record on wildlife and initiative bills could be improved, he has proven himself to be a powerful champion in fighting animal cruelty, and throughout every session he expends a great deal of effort to protect animals. Senator Kavanagh has consistently spoken out on animal-related bills in committees and on the floor.

Representative Andrew Sherwood not only earned a perfect voting record, he sponsored several pro-animal bills including SB 1105, HB 2269, and HB 2618, the pet store puppy mill ban.

Freshman Representative Richard Andrade was a champion for animals before his election. He and his wife Sally helped pass [Fabian's Law](#) in 2011. Rep. Andrade earned a perfect voting score, and also sponsored HB 2269, HB 2618, and other pro-animal bills.

Legislators who helped on specific animal related measures

HVA greatly appreciates these seven Republicans who voted against HB 2150, which would have hurt horses and farm animals: Representatives Paul Boyer, Phil Lovas, Kelly Townsend, and Michelle Ugenti, and Senators Adam Driggs, John Kavanagh, and Debbie Lesko

Representative Doug Coleman sponsored HB 2573 (dog licensing and vaccination)

Senator Don Shooter sponsored SB 1260 (cat impoundment)

Advocates

Humane Voters of Arizona thanks the following representatives of the Humane Legislative Coalition of Arizona: Stephanie Nichols-Young: Animal Defense League of Arizona, Kelsea Patton and Dr. Steve Hansen: Arizona Humane Society, Martha German and Scott Bonsall-Cargill: Humane Voters of Arizona, Pat Hubbard and Mike Duffy: Humane Society of Southern Arizona, Stacy Mann Pearson: Up Agency, and Brian Tassinari: Willetta Partners

HVA appreciates the efforts of Kellye Pinkleton, Matt Dominguez, and Kari Nienstedt with the Humane Society of the United States, Laura Nirenberg and Peter Wolf with Best Friends Animal Society, Chris Green with Animal Legal Defense Fund, Dr. Rodrigo Silva with Maricopa County Animal Care & Control, Yvonne Anderson, Donna Mulvey, Chris West, Cathy LaSusa, and AZ Sierra Club Director Sandy Bahr for her ongoing efforts to protect wildlife and citizens' voting rights.

HVA thanks all of the many volunteers who contacted their lawmakers and the governor's office asking them to oppose the farm animal cruelty bill. We also thank all of the national and local animal protection groups that contacted their Arizona members concerning HB 2150.

Finally, thanks to HVA members Martha German, Scott Bonsall-Cargill, and Stephanie Nichols-Young for their help on this report. Also thanks to Robert McCormick and Tom Krepitch for their advice and support.

For more information on legislation visit the HVA [website](#). Thanks to Salvatore Prano for designing and hosting the website.

Legislative Scorecard

Voting records are provided on key bills affecting animals, including citizen initiative measures. There are no actual points or grades assigned.

A checkmark indicates a pro-animal/initiative vote, while an “x” represents an anti-animal/initiative vote.

NV indicates that the legislator did not vote on a bill.

A blank column under a bill indicates that the legislator did not have the opportunity to vote on that measure. This applies to legislators who replaced another one that left office during the session. In that case the new legislator is included in the scorecard but will have a blank column for bills voted on prior to the replacement.

Bill voting records represent one public aspect of the legislative process. However, many factors that affect measures are not subject to public scrutiny. The efforts of lawmakers, lobbyists, and others to influence legislation largely take place within the “hidden” political process. That is why additional actions by lawmakers were also taken into consideration, such as sponsoring bills or influencing the passage or defeat of animal-related measures.

Those actions are represented in the OTHER column by positive icon ☺ or negative icon ☹. In the COMMENTS column, PS means that the legislator was the primary sponsor of a bill. Cosponsors are not included in the scorecard. Please click on the bill for all information including status, primary and co-sponsors, language versions, committee votes, and videos.

Although animal protection and initiative bills provide a gauge for reviewing lawmakers, other bills that impact animals are those that affect wildlife habitat. For legislative information on conservation measures, including voting records and scorecards visit the Arizona Sierra Club’s [political](#) page.

For more information on this legislative report please email humanevotersarizona@cox.net

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2015 SCORECARD

NAME	LD	Party	HB 2150	HB 2407	HB 2429	SB 1260	SB 1466	SCM 1003	OTHER	COMMENTS
Noel W. Campbell	1	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Karen Fann	1	R	x	x	x	x	x	x	☹	PS HCR 2014 Right to Hunt
John Christopher Ackerley	2	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Rosanna Gabaldón	2	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Sally Ann Gonzales	3	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Macario Saldade	3	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	✓		
Charlene R. Fernandez	4	D	x	✓	x	✓	x	✓	😊	PS HB 2618
Lisa A. Otondo	4	D	x	✓	x	✓	x	✓		
Sonny Borrelli	5	R	x	x	x	x	x	x	☹☹☹	PS HCR 2014; PS SB 1185; PS SCM 1009
Regina Cobb	5	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Brenda Barton	6	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x	☹☹	PS HB 2429; PS 1466
Bob Thorpe	6	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x	☹	PS HCR 2014
Jennifer D. Benally	7	D	x	✓	x	✓	x	✓		
Albert Hale	7	D	x	✓	NV	✓	x	✓		
Franklin M. Pratt	8	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Thomas "T.J." Shope	8	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Randall Friese	9	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Victoria Steele	9	D	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	😊	PS HB 2618
Stefanie Mach	10	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Bruce Wheeler	10	D	x	✓	✓	✓	x	✓		
Mark Finchem	11	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x	☹☹	PS HCR 2014; PS SB 1466;
Vince Leach	11	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x	☹	PS SCM 1009
Edwin W. Farnsworth	12	R	x	x	x	x	x	x	☹	Sponsored bad amendment to anti-tether bill
Warren H. Petersen	12	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Darin Mitchell	13	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Steve Montenegro	13	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
David M. Gowan Sr.	14	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
David W. Stevens	14	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x	☹	PS HB 2407
John M. Allen	15	R	x	x	x	x	x	x	☹☹☹	PS SB 1185; PS HB 1466; PS SCM 1009
Heather Carter	15	R	NV	x	x	✓	NV	x		
Doug Coleman	16	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Kelly Townsend	16	R	✓	x	x	✓	x	x		

NAME	LD	Party	HB 2150	HB 2407	HB 2429	SB 1260	SB 1466	SCM 1003	OTHER	COMMENTS
Javan D. "J.D." Mesnard	17	R	x	NV	x	✓	x	x		
Jeff Weninger	17	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Jill Norgaard	18	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Bob Robson	18	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Mark A. Cardenas	19	D	x	✓	x	✓	x	✓	☺	PS HB 2618
Diego Espinoza	19	D	x	✓	x	✓	x	✓		
Paul Boyer	20	R	✓	x	✓	x	x	x		
Anthony Kern	20	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Rick Gray	21	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Tony Rivero	21	R	x	x	x	x	x	x		
David Livingston	22	R	x	x	x	x	x	x	☹	PS HCR 2014
Phil Lovas	22	R	✓	x	✓	✓	x	x		
Jay Lawrence	23	R	x	x	✓	✓	x	x		
Michelle R. Ugenti	23	R	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	x		
Lela Alston	24	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Ken Clark	24	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Russell "Rusty" Bowers	25	R	x	x	x	x	x	x	☹	PS SB 1466
Justin Olson	25	R	x	x	x	✓	x	x		
Juan Jose Mendez	26	D	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	☺	PS HB 2269 Game & Fish Appt Board repeal
Andrew C. Sherwood	26	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺☺☺	PS HB 2269; PS HB 2618; PS SB 1105
Reginald Bolding	27	D	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV		
Rebecca Rios	27	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Kate Brophy McGee	28	R	NV	x	x	✓	NV	x		
Eric Meyer	28	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺	PS SB 1105
Richard C. Andrade	29	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺☺☺	PS HB 2269; PS HB 2618;
Ceci Velasquez	29	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺	PS HB 2269
Jonathan R. Larkin	30	D	x	✓	x	✓	x	✓		
Debbie McCune Davis	30	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

Representative

Click on name for more information (online version)

Bills

HB 2150: weakens animal protection for horses & farm animals (replaced HB2429)

HB 2407: impedes referendum and recall process

HB 2429: weakens animal protection for horses & farm animals

SB 1260: exempts cats eligible for TNR from mandatory holding period

SB 1466: damaging to endangered Mexican gray wolf recovery program

SCM 1003: urges damaging changes to endangered Mexican gray wolf recovery

Vote Symbols

Vote supporting animal protection or initiative rights ✓

Vote opposing animal protection or initiative rights x

Other

Action taken supporting animal protection or initiative rights ☺

Action taken opposing animal protection or initiative rights ☹

Comments

PS: Primary sponsor of a bill (cosponsors are not included in this list)

ARIZONA SENATE 2015 SCORECARD

NAME	LD	Party	HB 2150	HB 2407	SB 1185	SB 1260	SB 1466	OTHER	COMMENTS
Steve Pierce	1	R	NV	x	x	x	NV	☹	PS HB 2150
Andrea Dalessandro	2	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Olivia Cajero Bedford	3	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Lynne Pancrazi	4	D	x	x	✓	✓	✓		
Kelli Ward	5	R	x	x	NV	✓	x		
Sylvia Allen	6	R	x	x	x	x	x	☹☹	PS SB 1185; PS SB 1466
Carlyle Begay	7	D	x	x	✓	✓	x		
Barbara McGuire	8	D	x	x	x	✓	x		
Steve Farley	9	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	😊😊😊	PS SB 1105; other pro-animal bills
David Bradley	10	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	😊	PS SB 1132
Steve Smith	11	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Andy Biggs	12	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Don Shooter	13	R	x	NV	x	✓	x	😊	PS SB 1260
Gail Griffin	14	R	x	x	x	x	x	☹☹☹	PS SB 1185; PS SCM 1003; PS SCM 1009
Nancy Barto	15	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
David Farnsworth	16	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Steve Yarbrough	17	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Jeff Dial	18	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Lupe Contreras	19	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Kimberly Yee	20	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Debbie Lesko	21	R	✓	x	x	x	x		
Judy Burges	22	R	x	x	x	x	x	☹☹	PS SB 1185; PS SCM 1009
John Kavanagh	23	R	✓	x	x	✓	x	😊😊😊	PS SB 1050; PS SB 1105; PS SB 1198; PS SB 1265; other pro-animal bills
Katie Hobbs	24	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Bob Worsley	25	R	x	x	x	✓	x		
Ed Ableser	26	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	x		
Catherine Miranda	27	D	NV	x	x	✓	x		
Adam Driggs	28	R	✓	x	x	✓	x		
Martin Quezada	29	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Robert Meza	30	D	✓	x	✓	✓	x		

Senator

Click on name for more information (online version)

Bills

HB 2150: weakens animal protection for horses & farm animals (replaced HB2429)

HB 2407: impedes referendum and recall process

SB 1185: damaging to Mexican gray wolf recovery program

SB 1260: exempts cats eligible for TNR from mandatory holding period

SB 1466: damaging to endangered Mexican gray wolf recovery program

Vote Symbols

Vote supporting animal protection or initiative rights ✓

Vote opposing animal protection or initiative rights ✖

Other

Action taken supporting animal protection or initiative rights 😊

Action taken opposing animal protection or initiative rights ☹

Comments

PS: Primary sponsor of a bill (cosponsors are not included in this list)