



## 2013 LEGISLATIVE REPORT AND SCORECARD



Desert Nesting Bald Eagle photo by Robin Silver



## ARIZONA 2013 LEGISLATIVE REPORT

By Karen Michael

The 2013 legislative session was not a good one for animal protection issues. The Arizona State Legislature bowed to cattle lobby interests and failed to pass all but two pro-animal bills. And for the fourth time lawmakers failed to pass a bill banning roadside sales of animals, despite pleas from rural shelters. However, there are signs that more legislators are beginning to understand that their constituents care about animals, and their votes are beginning to reflect that understanding.

Unfortunately, lawmakers passed House Bill 2305, a dangerous elections omnibus bill that would weaken Arizona's strongest tool for animal protection, the citizens' initiative process. In September, a diverse group of organizations filed a referendum with the Arizona Secretary of State to place HB 2305 on hold and refer it to the 2014 ballot.

### The Good Bills

#### Service Animal Definition Update

HB 2401, sponsored by Representative Heather Carter, updates the definition of service animals to exclude exotic animals, and to include miniature horses. Arizona's service animal law had included any animal trained to provide service to disabled individuals, which made it difficult to prevent influx of exotic animals. The bill passed the legislature and was signed by the governor.



#### Dog Track Modifications

SB 1146, sponsored by Senator Steve Pierce, requires the Department of Racing to obtain monthly lists of all racing related injuries and deaths to the animals reported to the track veterinarian. It increases the period of suspension for track stewards who violate laws. Also, the bill raises the population threshold to exempt Tucson Greyhound Park from live racing requirement to conduct simulcasting.

House Speaker Andy Tobin sponsored the amendment to require racing injuries and death reports. Hopefully this law will force a layer of transparency at Tucson Greyhound Park, which has a long history of dog injuries, deaths, and inhumane handling. Thanks to Rep. Tobin and Grey2K for getting this language added to the bill. SB 1146 passed the legislature with only two 'no' votes and was signed by the governor.

#### Ban on Using Animals as Prizes

Representative John Kavanagh sponsored a measure which would have prohibited giving away live animals as prizes for games or contests. The bill was assigned to House Judiciary Committee where Chairman Eddie Farnsworth refused to hear it. It was revived as a strike-everything amendment sponsored by Representative John Allen, and HB 2121 passed the House 45-14-1. Despite overwhelming bipartisan support, Senate Judiciary Chair Rick Murphy held the bill in his committee, where it died.

Update: In June, the city of Fountain Hills passed an ordinance banning live animals as prizes thanks to Mayor Linda Kavanagh, who is Rep. Kavanagh's spouse.

## Prohibited Animal Ownership

Rep. John Kavanagh also sponsored HB 2073, which would have prohibited persons who have been convicted of animal abuse from adopting, fostering or having care or custody of animals. The bill passed the House 44-12-4, but died in the Senate after being assigned to two unfriendly committees. The bill failed despite attempts to reach agreement with agriculture industry lobbyists.

## Statewide Ban on Public Sale of Animals

The bill to ban roadside animal sales failed in the Senate Judiciary Committee. SB 1240 was sponsored by Senators Melvin, Farley, Hobbs, and Reagan. A senate committee passed the bill by a unanimous vote, and also unanimously rejected a bad, unrelated amendment that would have created sweeping exemptions to the state animal cruelty statute. The cattlemen's lobby was behind the amendment, which was made public just hours before the bill hearing.

At that point the bill should have moved toward a vote by the entire Senate. However, since it had been assigned to two committees, it also had to be heard in Senate Judiciary Committee. Judiciary Chairman, Senator Rick Murphy, finally agreed to hear the bill, but it was killed in his committee, largely due to misrepresentations made by the cattle lobby.

### Bill Background

In 2008 the Arizona Legislature passed the original bill prohibiting the public sale of animals, but the law applies only to counties with a population of more than 800,000. Many animals sold on public streets are bred and raised in poor conditions. And since the animals are typically not spayed, neutered or vaccinated, they contribute to overpopulation, the euthanasia of thousands of homeless animals each year in Arizona shelters and the spread of disease.



In 2010 a bill to expand the ban on roadside animal sales statewide passed the Senate but did not receive a final House vote as the result of a rift between legislative leaders over an unrelated measure.

In 2011 the same measure passed the House by a landslide bipartisan margin, but was held in the Senate by Senator Ron Gould, where it died.

In 2012, ten lawmakers from both parties signed onto the public animal sale bill, indicating its strong bipartisan support. However, shortly after it was introduced, the bill was again assigned to Sen. Gould, who again refused to hear or release it.

## Animal Cruelty Law Modifications

HB 2567, sponsored by Representative Brophy McGee, would have made changes to the state animal cruelty statute to facilitate law enforcement. However, due to strong opposition from cattle lobbyists, the bill died without being heard.

Update: Thanks to the Phoenix Animal Cruelty Task Force, Phoenix City Council members voted unanimously to pass a city ordinance that strengthens the city's animal cruelty law and provide more tools to deal with animal hoarders.

## Other Good Bills

Other animal protection bills that were introduced but failed to receive hearings included a measure to ban battery cages for laying hens (Rep. Andy Sherwood), and a bill to include animal fighting and cockfighting under Arizona's Racketeering definition (Sen. Steve Farley)

## The Bad Bills

### Initiative Suppression Bill

The 2013 legislative session brought several bills aimed at citizen initiative rights. Although the worst of these measures failed, towards the end of the session legislators incorporated language from several bills and created an election omnibus bill. HB 2305, sponsored by Representative Eddie Farnsworth, passed the legislature and was signed by Governor Brewer.

HB 2305 targets people on early voter rolls, makes it harder for third party candidates to get on the ballot, and makes it a Class 1 Misdemeanor for volunteer groups to help elderly, homebound, or disabled voters to get their early voting ballots to polls. But what is most concerning to animal protection groups is the fact that HB 2305 is a disaster for Arizona's public initiative process. This bill creates numerous strict specifications that could enable large amounts of signatures to be thrown out on a technicality. These impediments would make it much more difficult for citizens to get a measure on the ballot, which is already an arduous undertaking.

Arizona's public initiative process is a constitutional right which was enacted at statehood in 1912. It is the single most important tool for protecting Arizona's animals, and provides one of our only checks on legislative power. Thanks to grassroots citizens' ballot measures, leg-hold traps, snares, and poisons were banned on public lands in 1994, and cockfighting was outlawed in 1998. In 2006, voters overwhelmingly approved the Humane Treatment of Farm Animals Act, an initiative that banned the cruel confinement of pregnant pigs and calves raised for veal. The law took effect on January 1st of this year. All of these measures failed in the legislature, yet were passed by Arizona voters, most by large margins.



Arizona voters have also rejected legislative referrals to weaken the public initiative process. In 2000 voters rejected a proposal that would have required a two-thirds majority to pass any initiative affecting wildlife. In a landslide election in 2008, voters defeated a measure that could have deprived the majority of active voters of their existing constitutional right to decide ballot initiatives, by virtually eliminating the citizen initiative process. And in 2010 voters crushed a "right to hunt referral which was designed to prevent animal protection initiatives from reaching the ballot.

### Referendum Campaign to Overturn Initiative Suppression Bill

After the legislative session ended, a diverse group of organizations and citizens filed a referendum with the Arizona Secretary of State to place HB 2305 on hold and refer it to the 2014 ballot.

The Protect Your Right to Vote Committee, which includes over 25 nonprofit organizations including Animal Defense League of Arizona, Humane Voters of Arizona, Humane Society of the US and other voters' rights groups, had to collect 86,405 valid signatures by September 12, 2013. On September 11, the Committee delivered around 146,000 petition signatures to the Arizona Secretary of State to put the Legislature's attempt to target voting rights on the 2014 ballot. Despite our efforts, there are obstacles ahead that may include legal challenges and potential legislative attempts to derail the referendum.

### Other Anti Initiative Bills

Several other measures were introduced that could have negatively impacted citizen initiatives.

HCR 2033 (Stevens) would have subjected ballot measures to reauthorization every eight years, and was retroactive for all ballot measures that authorize state expenditures. Since the bill is retroactive it could have forced continual reauthorization of the hard-won laws that banned cockfighting and confinement of pregnant pigs and calves raised for veal.

Requiring continual reauthorization of citizen ballot measures already passed by voters is a common theme among Arizona's legislators, one that is punitive and an affront to our public initiative process. If passed by the

legislature HCR 2033 would have been referred to the 2014 ballot. Fortunately it failed, but could be reintroduced next session.

SCR 1006 (Reagan) would have referred to the ballot a measure to move the initiative petitions filing deadline from four months before the date of the election to May 1. This would make it much more difficult to get initiatives on the ballot, especially for grassroots groups that rely on volunteer petition signature gatherers. The bill died after being held in the House.

SCR 1019 (Reagan) required, subject to voter approval, initiative or referendum petitions to contain signatures from at least five different counties and at least 25% to be collected from other Maricopa and Pima counties. This would make it almost impossible to get citizen initiatives on the ballot. The bill died in the House.

HB 2007 (Ugenti) would have required that any campaign literature, publicity pamphlet, and the ballot contain the following language "Notice: Pursuant to Proposition 105 (1998), this measure can never be changed in the future if approved on the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the legislature and the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, or by referring the change to the ballot."

Proposition 105, the Voter Protection Act, was passed in 1998 to prevent the legislature from undermining citizen initiatives. It is an important safeguard to protect measures passed by voters. HB 2007 was no more than a veiled scare tactic to dissuade voters from supporting citizen initiatives. The bill passed the House but died in the Senate.

### **Anti Wildlife Bill**

SCR 1013 (Griffin, Burges, Shooter, Gowan), refers to the ballot a measure to support an 'update' by Congress to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) to favor land users over wildlife. The bill passed the legislature and was sent to the Secretary of State. Although as a resolution, SCR 1013 does not actually affect the law, it sends a destructive message regarding the importance of protecting Arizona's wildlife, plants, and ecosystems.



The ESA was enacted by Congress in 1973 to protect endangered and threatened species and their habitat, including 56 animal and plant species in Arizona. Without ESA protection these species are unlikely to survive. It's unconscionable that lawmakers disregard protecting our state resources in favor of industry, development, and ranching interests.

### **Super Star Legislators**

Humane Voters of Arizona wishes to thank those special legislators that expended efforts to protect animals and our public initiative process. Lawmakers that sponsored and/or voted to protect animals and voters' rights, and were present for all or most key bill votes include:

Representatives Lela Alston, Chad Campbell, Mark Cardenas, Heather Carter, Rosanna Gabalson, Ruben Gallego, Stefanie Mach, Debbie McCune Davis, Juan Mendez, Eric Meyer, Catherine Miranda, Martin Quezada, Macario Saldate IV, Andrew Sherwood, and Victoria Steele

Senators Ed Ableser, David Bradley, Olivia Cajero Bedford, Steve Farley, Steve Gallardo, Katie Hobbs, Jack Jackson, Jr., Leah Landrum Taylor, Linda Lopez, Robert Meza, Lynne Pancrazi, and Anna Tovar

### **Above and Beyond**

Senator Steve Farley voted consistently to protect animals and citizens' voting rights. He was a primary sponsor of SB 1240, to extend the ban on roadside animal sales statewide, and also sponsored a bill to include animal fighting and cockfighting under the Racketeering definition.

Representative Heather Carter not only supported animal protection bills, she was one of only two Republicans that opposed HB 2305, the anti-voter omnibus bill. She was also the primary sponsor of HB 2401, which tightens exotic animal regulation.

### **Rising Star**

Representative John Kavanagh was the primary sponsor of HB 2073, to prohibit animal abusers from owning animals, and HB 2121, to ban the practice of giving away animals as live prizes. He was also a cosponsor of the bill to ban roadside animal sales, and expended a great deal of effort to find common ground with cattle lobbyists who opposed the bills.

Despite Rep. Kavanagh's efforts on these animal protection bills, his voting record on initiative rights is in need of improvement. We hope that Rep. Kavanagh, who clearly cares about animals, will develop the recognition that Arizona's public initiative process is unarguably the best tool we have for protecting animals.

### **Legislators who helped on specific animal related measures**

Representative Kate Brophy McGee sponsored a bill to modify the state animal cruelty statute to help with enforcement, but also was unable to get past opposition from cattle industry, which maintains a disproportionate amount of control in the legislature.

Senator Melvin was the lead primary sponsor of SB 1240, to extend the ban on roadside animal sales statewide.

Representative Michelle Ugenti was one of only two Republicans that voted against HB 2305.

### **Unfriendly Legislators**

Unfortunately there were many legislators who consistently voted against bills to protect animals and citizen initiative rights. The following lawmakers voted unfavorably on all or most animal-related or voters' rights bills and/or sponsored bills aimed at weakening animal protection and initiative rights.

Representatives Eddie Farnsworth, David Gowan, Sr., Rick Gray, and David Stevens

Senators Andy Biggs, Judy Burges, Gail Griffin, Michelle Reagan, and Don Shooter

We were very disappointed by Senator Reagan's actions last session. Reagan has been a consistent advocate for animal protection. We have appreciated not only her efforts on legislation but the fact that she has been generous with her time and help in offering advice. Sen. Reagan was a primary sponsor for the bill to extend the ban on public animal sales statewide, and helped on other animal-related legislation.

However, Sen. Reagan was also the lead sponsor and proponent of several bills that targeted citizen initiatives, including the omnibus bill HB 2305. In Arizona, it is impossible to separate animal protection from voting rights issues. Our state's public initiative process provides animals with a voice that they do not have in the legislature, and provides a check on lawmakers who would pass bills that harm animals. Simply put, legislation harmful to citizen initiatives is harmful to animals.

### **Above and Beyond Unfriendly**

Senate President Andy Biggs was largely responsible for killing several animal protection bills by assigning them to one or more committees where they were unlikely to pass or receive hearings, ensuring their failure.

Representatives David Gowan, Sr., and David Steven not only voted against most animal protection bills, they sponsored HCR 2017, which would have required ballot measures to be reauthorized every eight years, including the voter-approved initiatives that banned cockfighting and cruel confinement of farm animals. They also sponsored other anti-voter measures. Additionally, Rep. Gowan sponsored the resolution aimed at the Endangered Species Act (SCR 1013).

Senator Rick Murphy killed HB 2121, which would have banned live animals as prizes, by holding the bill in his committee and refusing to grant a hearing.

Senators Judy Burges, Gail Griffin, and Don Shooter not only had poor voting records, they sponsored the resolution asking Congress to 'update' the Endangered Species Act to favor landowners.

## Advocates

Humane Voters of Arizona thanks Stephanie Nichols-Young: Animal Defense League of Arizona, Martha German and Scott Bonsall-Cargill: Humane Voters of Arizona, Kari Nienstedt: Humane Society of the United States, Deborah Foote: ASPCA, Shawn Smith: Humane Society of Yuma, Victoria Cowper: Western Arizona Humane Society, Ed Boks: Yavapai Humane Society, Heather Murphy: Pinal Co., Kaye Dickson: Pinal Co. Animal Care and Control, Dr. Rodrigo Silva: Maricopa Co. Animal Care and Control, Pat Hubbard and Mike Duffy: Humane Society of Southern AZ, Mike Napier: Phoenix Law Enforcement Association and Arizona Humane Society, Kathleen Mayer: Pima Co. Attorney, Kim Janes: Pima Animal Care Center, Jessie Armendt, Steve Wells: Animal Legal Defense Fund, GREY2K, Animal Advocates of Arizona, Karyn Zoldan, and Sierra Club Director Sandy Bahr for her ongoing efforts to protect wildlife and citizens' voting rights.

Also, Humane Voters of Arizona greatly appreciates all of the many volunteers who have contacted their lawmakers throughout each session. For more information on legislation visit the HVA website at [www.HumaneVotersAZ.org](http://www.HumaneVotersAZ.org)

## Legislative Scorecard

Voting records are provided on key bills affecting animals, including citizen initiative measures. There are no actual points or grades assigned.

A checkmark indicates a pro-animal/initiative vote, while an "x" represents an anti-animal/initiative vote.

A blank column under a bill indicates that the legislator did not have the opportunity to vote on that measure.

Bill voting records represent one public aspect of the legislative process. However, many factors that affect measures are not subject to public scrutiny. The efforts of lawmakers, lobbyists, and others to influence legislation largely take place within the "hidden" political process. That is why additional actions by lawmakers were also taken into consideration, such as sponsoring bills or influencing the passage or defeat of animal-related measures.

Those actions are represented in the OTHER column by positive icon ☺ or negative icon ☹. In the COMMENTS column, PS means that the legislator was the primary sponsor of a bill. Cosponsors are not included in the scorecard. Please click on the bill for all information including status, primary and co-sponsors, language versions, committee votes, and videos.

Although animal protection and initiative bills provide a gauge for reviewing lawmakers, other bills that impact animals are those that affect wildlife habitat. For legislative information on conservation measures, including voting records and scorecards visit the Arizona Sierra Club at [http://arizona.sierraclub.org/political\\_action/index.asp](http://arizona.sierraclub.org/political_action/index.asp)

For more information on this legislative report please email [humanevotersarizona@cox.net](mailto:humanevotersarizona@cox.net)

## ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2013 SCORECARD

Name	District	Party	HB 2073	HB 2121	HB 2305	HB 2401	SB 1146	Other	Comments
<a href="#">Karen Fann</a>	1	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Andy Tobin</a>	1	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	☺	Helped get greyhound injury report language on SB 1146
<a href="#">Andrea Dalessandro</a>	2	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗		
<a href="#">Rosanna Gabaldón</a>	2	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Sally Ann Gonzales</a>	3	D	NV	✓	✓	✓	✗		
<a href="#">Macario Saldate IV</a>	3	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Juan Carlos Escamilla</a>	4	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Lisa Otondo</a>	4	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Sonny Borrelli</a>	5	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Doris Goodale</a>	5	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Brenda Barton</a>	6	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Bob Thorpe</a>	6	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Jamescita Peshlakai</a>	7	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Albert Hale</a>	7	D	✗	✓	✓	NV	✓		
<a href="#">Frank Pratt</a>	8	R	NV	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">T.J. Shope</a>	8	R	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Victoria Steele</a>	9	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Ethan Orr</a>	9	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Stefanie Mach</a>	10	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Bruce Wheeler</a>	10	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Adam Kwasman</a>	11	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	☺	PS bill to reduce number of live greyhound races
<a href="#">Steve Smith</a>	11	R	✓	✗	NV	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Warren Petersen</a>	12	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Eddie Farnsworth</a>	12	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	☹	PS HB 2305; omnibus anti-voter/initiative bill; killed HB 2073 – refused to hear bill
<a href="#">Steve Montenegro</a>	13	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Darin Mitchell</a>	13	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">David M. Gowan Sr.</a>	14	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	☹☹	PS HCR 2017 to require initiative reauthorization every 8 years; PS SCR 1013
<a href="#">David W. Stevens</a>	14	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	☹	PS HCR 2017 & HCR 2033 requires ballot measure reauthorization (retroactive)
<a href="#">Heather Carter</a>	15	R	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺☺	PS HB 2401; One of only two Republicans that supported HB 2305
<a href="#">John Allen</a>	15	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	☺☹	Sponsored strike-everything HB 2121; PS anti-election bill HCR 2017
<a href="#">Doug Coleman</a>	16	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Kelly Townsend</a>	16	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Thomas Forese</a>	17	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	NV		



Name	District	Party	HB 2073	HB 2121	HB 2305	HB 2401	SB 1146	Other	Comments
<a href="#">Javan "J.D." Mesnard</a>	17	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Jeff Dial</a>	18	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Bob Robson</a>	18	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Lupe Chavira Contreras</a>	19	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Mark A. Cardenas</a>	19	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Paul Boyer</a>	20	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Carl Seel</a>	20	R	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Rick Gray</a>	21	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Debbie Lesko</a>	21	R	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓		
<a href="#">Phil Lovas</a>	22	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">David Livingston</a>	22	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	☹	PS bill to reduce number of live greyhound races
<a href="#">John Kavanagh</a>	23	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	☹☹	PS Animal protection bills HB 2073 and HB 2121
<a href="#">Michelle Ugenti</a>	23	R	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☹☹	PS HB 2007; one of only two Republicans that opposed HB 2305
<a href="#">Chad Campbell</a>	24	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Lela Alston</a>	24	D	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Justin Olson</a>	25	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Justin Pierce</a>	25	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Juan Mendez</a>	26	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☹	PS bill to require impartial title for ballot measures
<a href="#">Andrew Sherwood</a>	26	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☹	PS bill to ban battery cages for laying hens
<a href="#">Ruben Gallego</a>	27	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Catherine H. Miranda</a>	27	D	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Kate Brophy McGee</a>	28	R	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	☹	PS bill to modify animal cruelty statute to facilitate law enforcement
<a href="#">Eric Meyer</a>	28	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Martin J. Quezada</a>	29	D	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Lydia Hernández</a>	29	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Jonathan Larkin</a>	30	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Debbie McCune Davis</a>	30	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV		

### Representative

Click on name for more information (online version)

### Bills

HB 2073: Prohibits animal abusers from owning animals

HB 2121: Prohibits giving away live animals as prizes

HB 2305: Makes destructive changes to citizen initiatives and voters' rights

SB 2401: Excludes exotic animals from service animal definition

SB 1146: Requires injury reports at greyhound race tracks

### Vote Symbols

Vote supporting animal protection or initiative rights ✓

Vote opposing animal protection or initiative rights ✗

### Other

Action taken supporting animal protection or initiative rights ☺

Action taken opposing animal protection or initiative rights ☹

### Comments

PS: Primary sponsor of a bill

## ARIZONA SENATE 2013 SCORECARD

Name	District	Party	HB 2305	HB 2401	SB 1146	SCR 1006	SCR 1019	Other	Comments
<a href="#">Steve Pierce</a>	1	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘	☺	PS SB 1146, requiring greyhound injury reporting
<a href="#">Linda Lopez</a>	2	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Olivia Cajero Bedford</a>	3	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Lynne Pancrazi</a>	4	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Kelli Ward</a>	5	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Chester Crandell</a>	6	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Jack Jackson Jr.</a>	7	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Resigned 07/12/13; replaced by Carlyle Begay
<a href="#">Barbara McGuire</a>	8	D	✓	✓	✓	✘	✓		
<a href="#">Steve Farley</a>	9	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺☺	PS SB 1240 & bill to include animal fighting under Racketeering definition
<a href="#">David Bradley</a>	10	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Al Melvin</a>	11	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘	☺	PS SB 1240, to expand ban on public sale of animal statewide
<a href="#">Andy Biggs</a>	12	R	✘	✘	✓	✘	✘	☹☹	Assigned several animal protection bills to unfriendly committees
<a href="#">Don Shooter</a>	13	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘	☹	PS SCR 1013, asking Congress to 'update' ESA to favor land users
<a href="#">Gail Griffin</a>	14	R	✘	✘	✓	✘	✘	☹	PS SCR 1013, asking Congress to 'update' ESA to favor land users
<a href="#">Nancy Barto</a>	15	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Rich Crandall</a>	16	R	✘	NV	✓	✘	✘		Resigned 08/31/13; replaced by David Farnsworth
<a href="#">Steve Yarbrough</a>	17	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">John McComish</a>	18	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Anna Tovar</a>	19	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Kimberly Yee</a>	20	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Rick Murphy</a>	21	R	NV	✓	NV	✘	✘	☹	Killed HB 2121 by holding it in committee and refusing to hold hearing
<a href="#">Judy Burges</a>	22	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘	☹	PS SCR 1013, asking Congress to 'update' ESA to favor land users
<a href="#">Michele Reagan</a>	23	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘	☹☹☺	PS SCR 1006, 1019 & other anti-voter bills; PS 1240
<a href="#">Katie Hobbs</a>	24	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺	PS SB 1240, to expand ban on public sale of animal statewide
<a href="#">Bob Worsley</a>	25	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Ed Ableser</a>	26	D	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Leah Landrum Taylor</a>	27	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
<a href="#">Adam Driggs</a>	28	R	✘	✓	✓	✘	✘		
<a href="#">Steve Gallardo</a>	29	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	☺	Lead opposition to HB 2305
<a href="#">Robert Meza</a>	30	D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

### Senators

Click on name for more information (online version)

### Bills

HB 2305: Makes destructive changes to citizen initiatives and voters' rights  
 SB 2401: Excludes exotic animals from service animal definition  
 SB 1146: Requires injury reports at greyhound race tracks  
 SCR 1006: Moves filing date for initiatives from July to May  
 SCR 1019: Requires initiative signature collection from 5 counties, other changes

### Vote Symbols

Vote supporting animal protection or initiative rights ✓  
 Vote opposing animal protection or initiative rights ✘

### Other

Action taken supporting animal protection or initiative rights ☺  
 Action taken opposing animal protection or initiative rights ☹

### Comments

PS: Primary sponsor of a bill